AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

| Name of Committee | Community Protection Overview & Scrutiny Committee | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Committee | 3 rd | July 2007 | | | | |
| Report Title | Ре | rformance Report 2006/07 | | | | |
| Summary | | e report summarises the performance of Trading ndards for the year 2006/07. | | | | |
| For further information please contact: | Hea Tel | rk Ryder ad of Trading Standards : 01926 414020 rkryder@warwickshire.gov.uk | | | | |
| <i>Would the recommended decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework?</i> | No | | | | | |
| Background papers | Tra | ding Standards Service Plan | | | | |
| CONSULTATION ALREADY U | NDE | ERTAKEN:- Details to be specified | | | | |
| Other Committees | | | | | | |
| Local Member(s) | | Not applicable | | | | |
| Other Elected Members | X | Councillor R Chattaway, Councillor M Perry, Councillor C Davis, Councillor B Kirton | | | | |
| Cabinet Member | X | Councillor R Hobbs, Councillor A Farnell – for information | | | | |
| Chief Executive | | | | | | |
| Legal | X | Alison Hallworth, Adult and Community Team Leader Ian Marriott, Community and Environmental Legal Services Manager | | | | |
| Finance | X | Philip Lumley-Holmes, Financial Services Manager Paul Walsh, Financial Services Manager – Performance and Development | | | | |
| Other Chief Officers | | | | | | |



| District Councils | | |
|---|---|---|
| Health Authority | | |
| Police | | |
| Other Bodies/Individuals | X | Jane Pollard, Overview and Scrutiny Manager Michelle McHugh, Scrutiny Officer |
| FINAL DECISION YES | | |
| SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS: | | Details to be specified |
| Further consideration by this Committee | | |
| To Council | | |
| To Cabinet | | |
| To an O & S Committee | | |
| To an Area Committee | | |
| Further Consultation | | |

Community Safety Overview & Scrutiny Committee - 3rd July 2007

Performance Report Year 2006/07

Report of the Strategic Director of Adult, Health and Community Services

Recommendations

The Community Protection Overview & Scrutiny Committee is asked to:

- a) Consider the performance of the Trading Standards Service for the year 2006/07.
- b) Endorse any proposed remedial actions.
- c) Request any additional information required.

Executive Summary & Headlines

- 1 The following report summarises the performance of the Trading Standards Service for the year 2006/07 (1st April 2006 to 31st March 2007). The performance is set out in terms of key performance indicators, corporate and departmental objectives, budget analysis, consultations and complaints / compliments.
- 2 The report shows that: -
 - The Trading Standards measures for CPA are significant for WCC in that they are all indicators within the Environment block of CPA and have a direct bearing on the CPA score. The environment block is currently rated at level 4 (as high as it can be) and Trading Standards contribute 5 of the 17 indicators. All 5 met their target this year.
 - The Trading Standards Service achieved the majority of targeted performance for it's key performance indicators, only two targets under the DEFRA framework were not achieved due to staff shortages.
 - 2006/07 saw the first full year of operation for Consumer Direct West Midlands and Warwickshire consumers. Overall satisfaction with the service of CDWM was just 1% short of their target of 85% whilst overall satisfaction with advice received was on target at 85%.



- The Trading Standards Service had an under-spend of £54,000 at the end of 2006/07. This was a planned underspend which was agreed with the Strategic Director to achieve developments in 2007/8 such as further development of the 'talking shop' project and the mobile working project.
- 14 complaints have been handled in this current year, 3 complaints more than last year (11). The main areas of dissatisfaction relate to poor service. Remedial actions have been put in place to resolve all complaints.



Trading Standards Performance Report for 2006/07

(1st April 2006 to 31st March 2007)

| Ch | apter One – Performance Results | |
|----|---|----|
| | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
| 1 | PERFORMANCE AGAINST KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | 6 |
| 2 | PERFORMANCE AGAINST CORPORATE & DIRECOTRATE OBJECTIVES | 10 |
| 3 | ANALYSIS OF BUDGET POSITION | 14 |
| Ch | apter Two - Consultation | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 15 |
| 2 | CONSULTAION THIS YEAR | |
| Ch | apter Three – Complaints / Compliments | |
| 1 | INTORDUCTION | 16 |
| 2 | ANALYSIS OF COMPLAINTS | 16 |
| 3 | IMPROVEMENTS MADE | 17 |

IMPROVEMENTS MADE 3



1. Performance Against Key Performance Indicators

KEY:

| Does Performance meet the Target? | | Is Performance Improving? | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Missed target by more than 5% | | Performance declining | | | |
| | Performance between 2% over target and 5% less than target | - | Performance level or fluctuating | | | |
| * | Exceeded target by more than 2% | / | Performance continually improving over last 3 years | | | |

| Reference (e.g. CHI, Statutory) | Indicator | Aim of indicator | Actual 2004/5 | Actual 2005/6 | Target 2006/7 | Actual 2006/7 | On Target? | Forecast Performance Improving? |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Statutory CPA | Business brought back in to compliance | | | 95% | 100% | 99.2% (revisits to check compliance still in progress) | | - |
| Statuory CPA | Consumer Satisfaction | | | 81.8% | above upper threshold which is 75% | 81.1% | | |
| Statuory CPA | Business satisfaction | | | 91.4% | above upper threshold which is 75% | 94% | | 4 |
| Statuory CPA | BVPI 166 | | | 90% | above lower threshold of 50% (upper threshold is 100%) | 90% | | * |



| Reference (e.g. CHI, Statutory) | Indicator | Aim of indicator | Actual 2004/5 | Actual 2005/6 | Target 2006/7 | Actual 2006/7 | On Target? | Forecast Performance Improving? |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Statutory CPA | Inspection of business premises with a High Risk rating. | | | 81.3% | above upper threshold | 100% | | - |

N.B. DEFRA has recently called for the removal of BVPI 166: "...there are parts to BV 166 reflecting performance against an enforcement checklist. These PI's were created to reflect the implementation of the original enforcement concordat but are now out of line with post Hampton thinking. The local Better Regulation Office (LBRO), which is being created by the Cabinet Office is committed to developing a new measure which reflects compliance with Hampton. In light of this we recommend that the cabinet office immediately remove these indicators. "Lifting the Burdens Taskforce – Final report May 2007, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs".

NATIONAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Trading Standards Service is subject to a number of national performance measures for a number of government agencies as detailed below.

Department of Trade & Industry -National Performance Framework Inspections:

| Risk | No of High | No of | No of | No of | Status | Risk |
|--------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------|--------|------|
| | Risk | businesses | businesses | businesses | | |
| | Businesses | inspected | found to be | brought to | | |
| | | | compliant on | compliance | | |
| | | | first inspection | by year end | | |
| High | 137 | 137 | 135 | 136* | | Nil |
| Medium | | 702 | 686 | 693* | | |
| Low | | 314 | 313 | 313 | | |
| Low | | 314 | 313 | 313 | | |

* Revisits to check compliance still ongoing – until 30June



Food Standards Agency

Inspections of premises

| Risk | No of | No | Closed | Total | Status | Risk |
|---------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|------|
| | businesses | inspected | | | | |
| High | 57 | 51 | 6 | 57 | | Nil |
| Medium | | 397 | 95 | 492 | | |
| Low | | 4 | 18 | 22 | | |
| Unrated | | 140 | 83 | 223 | | |

249 Food Standards Checklists were completed at businesses by other agencies as part of the Retail Enforcement Project.

559 Formal and Informal samples taken for Food Safety Act analysis

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Framework

| | Target | Achieved | Status | Risk | Remedial Action |
|---------------------|--------|----------|--------|------|-----------------------|
| Market Surveillance | 154 | 154 | | Nil | |
| Farm Inspections | 300 | 150 | | Nil | Training of new staff |
| | | | | | now commenced |
| Abattoir visits | 100 | 20 | | Nil | Training of new staff |
| | | | | | commenced |
| C &D Checks | | 194 | | | |
| Police Road Checks | | 6 | | | |
| Special Sales | | 44 | | | |



Consumer Direct West Midlands

Since February 2006 front line consumer advice over the telephone has been supplied to the consumers of Warwickshire by the regional call centre: Consumer Direct West Midlands.

During 2006-2007, a total of 10,448 complaints were received by CDWM from Warwickshire consumers and were given first stage telephone advice. Of these 1920, (18.4%) were forwarded to Trading Standards for investigation and/or more detailed advice. In addition Trading Standards received 1650 consumer complaints directly by personal visit, letters, email and other means.

Some performance information relating to the service provided by CDWM:

Overall satisfaction with Service: 84% (overall West Midlands) Target 85%

Overall satisfaction with advice : 85% (overall West Midlands) Target 85%



2. PROGRESS AGAINST CORPORATE & DIRECTORATE OBJECTIVES

This section describes the Trading Standards Service performance against corporate and departmental key performance indicators and departmental objectives.

Progress Against Corporate Objectives

| Description | 2005/06 Actual | 2006/07 Forecast | 2006/07 Target | 2006/07 Actual | Status | Risk | Remedial Action |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------|------|--------------------|
| Meet the CPA Standard for Visits to High Risk Premises | 81.3% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | Nil | |

Progress Against Directorate/Service Objectives

The performance results below help to demonstrate the range and profile of services provided by Trading Standards during 2006/07.

Underage Sales Test Purchasing

| | 2005/06 Attempts to | 2005/06 Sales Made | 2006/07 Attempts to | 2006/07 Sales Made |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Purchase | Calco Made | Purchase | |
| Fireworks | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 |
| Alcohol | 55 | 4 | 42 | 2 |

Infringements

| | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|
| Informal Caution | 58 | 23 |
| Formal Caution | 6 | 2 |
| Formal Undertaking | 2 | 3 |
| Enforcement Orders | 1 | 0 |
| Prosecutions Commenced | 17 | 4 |
| Pending | 14 | 31 |



Service Requests to TSS from Consumers & Business*

| | 2005/06 | 2005/06 % | 2006/07 | 2006/07 % |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Response w/i 3 days | 2812 | 87 | 1552 | 84.9% |
| Response 4 days + | 417 | 13 | 199 | 10.9% |
| No Response | 12 | 0.4 | 75 | 4.1% |
| Total Received | 3241 | | 1826 | |
| Not Allocated Investigating Officer | 4 | 0.1 | 53 | 3% |

Consumer Complaints Received Against Traders*

| • • • | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | 2005/06 | 2005/06 % | 2006/07 | 2006/07 % |
| Response w/i 3 days | 7075 | 89.2 | 3015 | 73.5% |
| Response 4 days + | 854 | 10.8 | 1052 | 25.6% |
| No Response | 4 | 0.01 | 37 | 0.9% |
| Total Received | 7933 | | 4104 | |
| Not Allocated Investigating Officer | 6 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.4% |

*Figures in the above two tables for 2006/7 include carry over investigations from 2005/6. 2005/6 figures do not include carry over investigations from 2004/5 as this report was not required that year

Media for the Service

| | 2006/07 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Press Releases Issued | 79 - resulting in 324 articles |
| Radio/TV | 58 |
| Media Calls Taken | 155 |
| Web Visits | 230,017 |



| Doorstep Seller Stickers Issued | 6000 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Electric Blankets Tested | 368 over 5 locations countywide |
| Visits to Business Zone Website | 1472 |
| Attend Bedworth Safety Day & | 2340 |
| Crucial Crew | |
| Educational Talks | 40 |
| Training PCSO's | 78 |

Examples of Enforcement & Compliance Projects 2006//07

| House of Horrors Project | Successfully Completed | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Vehicle Servicing Project | 7 vehicles submitted to different | |
| | garages | |
| | 7 unsatisfactory reports received | |
| | 5 traders under investigation | |
| Joint Day of Action | Successfully Completed | |
| E-Bay Purchasing | 9 Products Purchased | |
| | 4 Products Counterfeit | |
| | 1 Product Lost in Post | |
| | 4 Products satisfactory | |
| | Result: | |
| | 4 Letters of Caution sent by | |
| | Trading Standards | |

Project Targets – Sampling 2006/07

| | Budget | Spend | | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Agriculture | £2,960 | £0 | | | |
| Food Samples | £52,000 | £63,822 | | | |
| Non Food Samples | £11,010 | £7,276 | | | |
| TOTAL | £65,970 | £71,098 | | | |
| | | (108%) | | | |



3. Analysis of Budget Position

<u>Income</u>

Warwickshire's Trading Standards service generates income from a variety of sources in support of delivering enforcement and advice services. These revenue streams can be categorised as follows:

- Weighing and measuring equipment calibration. This is done on behalf of public and private sector customers. This work saw an increase of £44,000 to £134,000 against the budget during 2006-2007 with the addition of no extra staffing resource.
- Talkingshop is the national web based consumer education platform that receives local authority subscriptions and occasional grant funding
- Petroleum and Explosives licensing generated £24,000.
- There is a Department of Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) framework agreement in place. This service receives funding for delivery of Animal Health enforcement against this framework.
- Warwickshire Trading Standards has taken a lead role in developing the national project: The Retail Enforcement Pilot (REP). This project has been developing joined up inspection programmes with colleagues from Environmental health and the Fire Service.
- Warwickshire Trading Standards co-ordinates the regional TS partnership for the West Midlands CEnTSA

Total income received from by the Trading Standards Service in 2006-2007 = £582,000.

This is set against an overall budget of £2,532,573.

Budgetary control

The 2006-2007 financial outturn for the Trading Standards Service was an under spend of £54,000. This equates to 2% of an overall budget of £2,532,573. This was a planned underspend which was agreed with the Strategic Director to achieve developments in 2007/8 such as further development of the 'talking shop' project and the mobile working project.



Chapter Two – Consultation

1. Introduction

This section aims to provide the committee with an indication of what public consultation has been carried out, what priorities and areas of concern the public have raised, how this information has been used and the actions taken as a result.

2. Consultation

The table below shows the main consultations carried out, their key messages and any action that has been taken as a result.

| Title & Purpose | Start Date | Methodology | Key Results | Action Taken | Corporate Objective |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| Customer satisfaction with Advice Line, Compliance and Consumer Protection To ascertain the level of customer satisfaction with the Advice Line. | August 2006 – March 2007 | Postal Questionnaire | 84% of respondents rated our staff as well informed; 92% confirmed that they had been treated fairly; 93% found the advice they were given easy to understand. | A review is currently being undertaken of internal records, relating to any negative comments received. Overall report is currently being prepared for Management Team's consideration. | Reduce Crime and Improve the Safety of the Community. |
| Retail Enforcement Pilot Business Survey | March 2006- March 2007 | Postal Questionnaire | 96% of respondents rated our staff as very helpful; 79% of respondents found the advice given easy to understand; 94% of respondents were very satisfied with the overall level of service | The results will be included in the Retail Enforcement Pilot Full Impact Evaluation Report due in 2008 | Reduce burdens of inspections on compliant business by using a cross regulator risk-based approach to enforcement |



Chapter Three – Complaints

Performance Report 2005/2006

1. Introduction

The Service uses the corporate Complaints procedure to respond to concerns from the public about our services. Wherever possible we seek to ensure immediate, local resolution to any customer comment/complaint. If this proves difficult the more formal elements of the WCC complaints procedure are followed with complaints officers involved as necessary. The majority of issues are dealt with at the informal stage of the process.

Complaints and compliments are encouraged as a valuable means of judging satisfaction. Reports are considered by the Service Management Team quarterly to ensure adequate action is taken to deal with emerging issues. The Service knows that with the high level of contacts with the public some complaints are inevitable.

The current corporate system determines how far a complainant decides to take his or her complaint, but as yet makes no assessment of seriousness.

2. **Complaints Analysis**

2.1 **Complaints and Compliments Analysis**

The following tables show a two-year analysis.

2.1.1 Complaints

| Number of | 2005/2006 | 2006/2007 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Complaints | 11 | 14 |

2.1.2 **Compliments**

| Number of | 2005/2006 | 2006/2007 |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Compliments | 28 | 86 |

2.1.3 Complaints Detail – April 2006 – March 2007

The following tables show the detail behind the complaint figures received during April 2005 – March 2006.

| Number of complaints received | 14 | |
|--------------------------------|----|---|
| Number of comments received | 0 | Î |
| Number of compliments received | 86 | Ī |

| Number of these complaints which are of a discriminatory nature | Race | 0 |
|--|-------------|---|
| | Disability | 0 |
| | Age | 0 |
| | Gender | 0 |
| | Religion | 0 |
| | Sexual | 0 |
| | Orientation | U |



| Stage the | Informal Within 7 working days | 14 |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|
| complaint went | Stage 1 Within 15 working days | 0 |
| to | Stage 2 Within 21 working days | 0 |
| | Stage 3 Within 30 working days | 0 |
| Number of complaints dealt with within the time scales | | 0 |
| set out in the Co | | |
| Number of complaints substantiated/justified | | 2 & 1 partly |
| Number of complaints referred on by Members | | 1 |
| Number of complainants who asked for Members to be | | 0 |
| notified of their complaint. | | |

3. Improvements Made

| Actions made as a result of complaints within the last 6 | Amendments to the TSS internal Quality System, relating to the complaints procedure. |
|--|--|
| months | Additional training provided to officers. |

GRAEME BETTS Strategic Director of Adult, Health & Community Services

Shire Hall Warwick

June 2007

